UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,078	01/31/2006	Akihiko Yazaki	59036US004	2192	
	7590 07/14/200 IVE PROPERTIES CO		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427		PATEL, BHARAT C			
ST. PAUL, MN	N 55133-3421 ART UNIT P.		PAPER NUMBER		
			3724		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Interview Summary	10/595,078	YAZAKI ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BHARAT C. PATEL	3724				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>BHARAT C. PATEL</u> .	(3) <u>G. Rosenblatt (Reg. #4</u>	<u>5056)</u> .				
(2) <u>Ghassem Alie</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 07 July 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 7</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Mansfield, 5, 838, 850</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art rejection of claims 1 and 7 was discussed for clairfying lack of specific structure of auxiliary support section with respect to the cover or the base. The propsed amendment of pivotally mounted / disposed and movable on one of the cover and base section appears to overcome the prior art rejection. The Application will be examined based on the amended claims submitted for the next office action.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Ghassem Alie/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724						

Application No.

Applicant(s)